

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/584,306		05/31/2000	Eric James	99EC035/77526	3172
24628	7590	08/26/2004		EXAMINER	
WELSH &	& KATZ,	LTD	NGUYEN, QUYNH H		
	ERSIDE PI	LAZA		ADTIONT	DARED MUMBER
22ND FLC	OR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO	CHICAGO, IL 60606			2642	13
				DATE MAILED: 08/26/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	_	7.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>//.</i>			
	09/584,306	JAMES, ERIC				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1			
	Quynh H Nguyen	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONe, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ame	endment filed 6/7/04.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.C). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-52 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	ΓO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:		J 102)			

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 2, 4, 6-13, 17, 18, 20-24, 26-34, 37, 38, 40-46, and 48-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clare et al. (U.S. Patent 5,465,286) in view of Kilander et al. (U.S. Patent 5,742,675).

Regarding claim 2, Clare et al. teach monitoring a database of the call center by applications or subroutines (Fig. 9 and 10); monitoring physical location information of entities and updating the electronic floor plan to provide and reflect a change in physical location information of the entities (col. 12, lines 26-64) by an event handler (Fig. 11); displaying the electronic floor plan on the workstation of the supervisor (Fig. 1, 20 and Abstract, lines 3-12), the supervisor modifies the groups displayed in Fig. 2, for example, add, change, or delete (col. 6, line 63 through col. 7, line 2 and col. 9, lines 61-66, and col. 12, lines 26-30), the supervisor may change some outbound agents to inbound agents to address the excess inbound calls, changing in personnel or modification of agent locations (col. 10, lines 50-51).

Clare et al. do not suggest the step of monitoring and detecting logons and logoffs of the entities to identify consoles being used by the entities.

Kilander et al. teach a method and apparatus automatically distributing calls to available logged in call handling agents who logs on to his/her workstation or console

Art Unit: 2642

(col. 6, lines 9-32). When the agent logs off his/her computer notifies the CCS 20 of the log off request and records it in the MIS section of database 22 (Fig. 5 and col. 7, lines 45-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the features of detecting logons and logoffs of the entities to identify consoles being used by the entities, as taught by Kilander, in Clare's system in order to add more capability of providing and reflecting a change physical location information based upon the monitoring of the logons and logoffs of the entities to Clare's system beside relying on radio transmitting to determine the location of agents.

Regarding claims 4, 24, and 46, Clare et al. teach entities includes agent/personnel (Fig. 13, 61), consoles/agent terminals (col. 11, lines 61-63). Clare et al. do not teach printers and facsimile. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that any working station would have at least a printer and facsimile to better serve customers.

Regarding claims 6, 26, and 48, Clare et al. teach monitoring ("updated") the changes (col. 11, lines 50-60). However, Clare et al. do not teach comparing physical location at a second time with physical location at a first time. It would be necessary to compare the physical location at a second time with the physical location at a first time in order to display the updated information.

Regarding claims 7, 27, and 49, Clare et al. do not teach notifying a supervisor if the physical location information has been changed. It would have been obvious that

Art Unit: 2642

one of the purposes of monitoring physical location of an entity in a call center is to notify the supervisor.

Regarding claims 8, 28, and 37, Clare et al. teach recording physical location information and retrieving the recorded physical location information (col. 10, lines 32-51). Clare et al. do not teach physical location information that is improper is maintained in a list of entities without physical location information. It would have been obvious that physical location information are not needed for improper location information, for example, there is no graphical image display on the electronic floor plan for an entity if an entity is located at an improper location.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 29, and 30, Clare et al. do not teach the physical location is entered by a call center user or server computer. However, Kilander et al. teach the CCS 20 processes the log-on transaction and determines whether the agent logs on to his/her computer terminal from the agent's on-site working location or from an off-site working location (col. 6, lines 18-32).

Claims 11 and 31 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 5 and 8.

Regarding claims 12, 32, and 33, Clare et al. teach the recorded physical location information is determined by getting physical location information from a database (Fig. 1, memory in applications processor 50).

Regarding claims 13, 17, 18, 34, 38, and 52, Clare et al. do not teach translating includes using a look-up table to create a relationship between physical location information and graphical form and placing graphical image and using bit map drawing

Art Unit: 2642

tools to produce two-dimensional image of the electronic floor plan. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that looking-up a table to create a relationship between physical information and graphical form, graphical image in order to provide proper and accurate drawing.

Regarding claims 20 and 40, Clare et al. teach the locating system updates the location information every one second in near real time. It would have been obvious that the locating system would have identified an entity, which has physically moved in order to update the location information.

Regarding claims 21, 41, and 22, 42, 43, 50, Clare et al. do not teach the electronic floor plan is updated with a prediction of physical location information based upon a history of physical location information and is archived and retrieved for later analysis. It would have been very useful in call center environment that prediction of physical location information based upon a history of physical location information and is archived and retrieved for later analysis in order to assist supervisor in planning.

Claim 23 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with regard to claim 1. Furthermore, Clare et al. teach means for monitoring (Fig. 9 step 240 - monitoring system and Fig. 10 step 300 - monitor subroutine). Clare et al. further teach means for updating the electronic floor plan (Fig. 1).

Claims 44 and 45 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with regard to claim 1. Furthermore, Clare et al. teach an entity monitor comprises a programmable computer which monitors entities for physical location information to provide an electronic floor plan (col. 12, line 65 through col. 13, line 21); a supervisors

Art Unit: 2642

workstation that displays the electronic floor plan (Fig. 1, 20 and Abstract, lines 3-12); and an informer that updates the electronic floor plan displayed on the supervisors workstation to provide and reflect changes in physical location information of the entities (col. 13, line 46 through col. 14, line 32).

Claim 51 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with regard to claim 11.

3. Claims 3, 5, 14-16, 19, 25, 35, 36, 39, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clare et al. (U.S. Patent 5,465,286) in view of Kilander et al. (U.S. Patent 5,742,675) and further in view of Matsuda et al. (U.S. Patent 6,268,872).

Regarding claims 3, 14, 15, 35, and 36, Clare et al. do not teach physical location information includes Cartesian coordinates, latitude and longitude meridians, and radius vector and angle and proper Cartesian coordinates can be graphically represented on the electronic floor plan.

Matsuda et al. teach a three-dimensional graphics language called Virtual Reality Modeling Language (VRML) that enables description of a three-dimensional space and setting of objects drawn in three-dimensional graphics; furthermore if entity 61 in Fig. 13 moves from one location to the next location, the Cartesian coordinates would change.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that Cartesian coordinates would be needed for proper graphically representation of the electronic floor plan.

Art Unit: 2642

Claims 5, 19, 25, 39, and 47 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 3, 14, 15, 35, and 36. Furthermore, Clare et al. teach electronic floor plan includes a bit map image (col. 10, lines 37-51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that vector graphics, object oriented graphics, and VRML browser are used for displaying a three-dimensional space and the advantage of using them are also well known.

Claim 16 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 37.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-52 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments are addressed in the above claims rejections.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-5451. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306

Art Unit: 2642

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

qhn

Quynh H. Nguyen August 20, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINE